

Entered: June 24th, 2025

Signed: June 24th, 2025

DENIED

No hearing is necessary or required to assist the Court in deciding the issues presented. LBR 9013-1(b). The Court has reviewed the Expedited Motion [Docket No. 7] and the Limited Opposition [Docket No. 8] and finds that nothing therein constitutes cause to extend time as requested by the Plaintiffs and Counter-Defendants under Bankruptcy Rule 9006(b).



David E. Rice
 DAVID E. RICE
 U. S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE
 DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 Baltimore Division**

Claudia Engelhorn, et al.

Plaintiffs

v.

Erik D. Bolog, et al.

Defendants

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

*

Case No.: 25-00159

Case No.: C-24-CV-002631

Circuit Court for Baltimore City,
 Maryland

Related to Docket Nos. 3 and

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EXPEDITED MOTION

Upon consideration of the Plaintiffs' Expedited Motion for Extension of Time to Oppose Motion to Reconsider and Motion to Transfer Venue (Docket No. 3) Pursuant to Local Rule 9006-2 and any opposition thereto, it is hereby:

ORDERED that the Motion is **GRANTED**; AND IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Deadline to oppose (1) the Motion for Reconsideration and (2) the Debtor's Motion to Transfer Venue is extended to 14 days following a ruling on Plaintiffs' forthcoming motion seeking abstention and/or remand.

[Alternatively]